## DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

	•					
Attorney Docket N	o: <u>NEC 2020</u>					
First Named Invent	or: Hi	roshi SAEKI				
Complete if known	: Serial No:		Filing Date:	January 5, 2001		
·	Group Art Unit:		Examiner:			
As a below named						
My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.						
elaimed and for wh	oint inventor (if plusich a patent is soug	ral names are listed ht on the invention	below) of the entitled PI	subject matter which is LASMA DISPLAY		
PANEL MANU	FACTURING APP	PARATUS AND M	ANUFACTUR:	ING METHOD ,		
The specification of	which is attached h	nereto.				
hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.						
application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, S. 1.56(a).						
application(s) for p which designated a have also identified	atent or inventor's o t least one country o l below any foreign	certificate, or 365(a other than the Unite application for pat	) of any PCT is ded States of Assent or invento	65(b) of any foreign international application merica, listed below and r's certificate or of any blication on which priority		
Prior Foreign Appl	ication(s):			Certified Copy		
2000-1842	Japan	01/07/2000	Priority Cla	imed Attached		
(Number)	(Country)	(Month/Day/Year F		]No ⊠ Yes ∏ No		
(Number)	(Country)	(Month/Day/Year Fi		□ No □ Yes □ No		

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 listed below:	5 U.S.C. 119(e) of any United	States provisional application(s)
Application No	Filing I	Date:
I hereby claim the benefit under 33 any PCT international application insofar as the subject matter of each United States or PCT International 35 U.S.C 112, I acknowledge the cas defined in 37 CFR 1.56 which be application and the national or PC	designating the United States of the claims of this applicated application in the manner produty to disclose information who became available between the factorial application of the state of the stat	of America, listed below and, tion is not disclosed in the prior evided by the first paragraph of hich is material to patentability filing date of the prior
US Parent Application No. or PCT Parent Appln. No.	Parent Filing Date	Parent Patent Number (if applicable)
And I hereby appoint HAYES, SC firm composed of Oliver W. Haye William 0. Hennessey, Reg. No. 3 Reg. No. 35,001; and Edmund Pau Street, Manchester, New Hampshi power of substitution and revocati the Patent Office connected therev	es, Reg. No. 15,867; Norman P 2,032; Susan H. Hage, Reg. Nul Pfleger, Reg. No. 41,252, or ire 03101 (Telephone: 603-663 on, to prosecute this application with.	. Soloway, Reg. No. 24,315; o. 29,646; Steven J. Grossman, any of them, of 175 Canal 8-1400) my attorneys with full on and to transact all business in
Norman P. Soloway HAYES, SC 175 Canal Street, Manchester, New	DLOWAY, HENNESSEY, GR w Hampshire 03101 (Telephon	OSSMAN & HAGE, P.C., ne: 603-668-1400).
Hereby declare that all statements statements made on information a statements were made with the kn punishable by fine or imprisonment Code and that such willful false st patent issued thereon.	nd belief are believed to be tru owledge that willful false state nt, or both, under Section 1001	e; and further that these ements and the like so made are of Title 18 of the United States
Full name of sole or first inventor	: Hiroshi SAEKI	
First Inventor's signature  Residence: c/o NEC CORPORATIO  Citizenship: Japan  Post Office Address: Same as Res	ON, 7-1, Shiba 5-chome,	ate September 18, 2000 Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan



The Duty of Disclosure requirements of Section 1.56(a), of Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations are as follows:

A duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office rests on the inventor, on each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application and on every other individual who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application. All such individuals have a duty to disclose to the Office information they are aware of which is material to the examination of the application. Such information is material where there is a substantial likelihood that a reasonable examiner would consider it important in deciding whether to allow the application to issue as a patent. The duty is commensurate with the degree of involvement in the preparation or prosecution of the application.

By virtue of this regulation each inventor executing the Declaration for the filing of a Patent Application acknowledges his duty to disclose information of which he is aware and which may be material to the examination of the application.

Inherent in this is the duty to disclose any knowledge or belief that the invention:

- (a) was ever known or used in the United States of America before his invention thereof;
- (b) was patented or described in any printed publication in any country before his invention thereof or more than one year prior to the actual filing date of the U.S. patent application;

T I

ıD

- (c) was in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to the actual filing date of the U.S. patent application; or
- (d) has been patented or made the subject of inventor's certificate issued before the actual filing date of the U.S. patent application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by him or his legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months before the actual filing date in the United States.

NOTE: The "Information" concerned includes, but is not limited to, all published applications and patents, including applicant's and assignee's own, U.S. or foreign applications and patents, as well as any other pertinent prior art known, or which becomes known, to the inventor or his representatives. Where English language equivalents of foreign language documents are known, they should be identified and, when possible, copies supplied. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in a patent issued on the application being held invalid even if the known prior art which is not supplied is material to only one claim of that patent.